

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/023,604 | 12/17/2001 | Chi-Yue Wu | 179.7294USU | 2907 |
| 7590 03/02/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Paul D. Greeley, Esq. | | | LEWIS, PATRICK T | |
| Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682 | | | 1623 DATE MAIL ED: 03/02/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | T | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Matica of Abandanmant | 10/023,604 | WU ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Patrick T. Lewis | 1623 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neriod for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _ | · | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated), which is | | | |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | , | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | PRIMAR | C. Feel. PESELEV Y EXAMINER UP 1200 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFK 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Eveniner Initiated Intension Summany | 10/023,604 | WU ET AL. |
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Patrick T. Lewis | 1623 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Aba</u> | andoned |
| (1) <u>Patrick T. Lewis</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Paul Greely. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 February 2004 | Time: <u>14:54</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER | | DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Andisont | /Applicant's Representative Si | onature – if appropriate) |
| AEVALUILIEUSE (VANDIICALIA | Applicant a Lebiesenialive Ol | anatore in appropriately |